



On the suitability of CubeSats in Earth orbits for radiation testing of interplanetary payloads

Friederike Wolff^{(1) (2)}, Birgit Ritter⁽²⁾, Stas Barabash⁽²⁾

⁽¹⁾ Luleå University of Technology, Kiruna, Sweden

⁽²⁾ Swedish Institute of Space Physics, Kiruna, Sweden

iCubeSat, May 26, 2015



Effects of ionizing particle radiation

- Single Event Effects
- Charge build-up
- Displacement damage
- False counts in sensors due to penetrating radiation



RF Effects of ionizing particle radiation

- Single Event Effects
- Charge build-up
- Displacement damage
- False counts in sensors due to penetrating radiation



http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Magnetosphere_of_Jupiter#/media/File:Jupiter_radio.jpg







Detecting secondary electrons

Channel Electron Multiplier (CEM)



Micro-Channel Plate (MCP)











Case study: RATEX-J

- RAdiation Test EXperiment for JUICE
- Focuses on 2 radiation mitigation approaches:
 - Test of anti-coincidence system for the Jovian plasma Dynamics and Composition analyzer (JDC)
 - Characterization of MCP and CEM response to penetrating electrons
- Use of ground based and space borne testing platforms



- 0.5 U
- Mass: 300 g
- Power consumption: 2.3 W





J3

• 1 U CubeSat, only COTS components



A.4.4-F6.: J³: CubeSats as a Platform for In-Orbit Verification of Scientific Instruments for Interplanetary Missions (Jonas Burgdorf, Atakan Sirin)



http://www.tethers.com/hivolt2.html





SPENVIS omnidirectional flux



South Atlantic Anomaly

https://www.spenvis.oma.be/





Electron Fluxes in typical CubeSat Orbits



- Inclination > 63°
- Altitude is of minor importance considering the range feasible for CubeSats (<800 km)



Maximum flux comparison: Earth-Jupiter













Expected Energy Spectrum



 β : angle between instrument and magnetic field line

Summary of science requirements

- Most interesting region: (southern) radiation belt crossing
 - SAA has higher fluxes but the electrons energy is too small to penetrate the sensor stack
 - Instrument duty cycle: ~20%
- Orbital inclination > 63°
 - Orbital altitude is of minor importance
- Attitude control: The angle the instrument makes with the local magnetic field vector shall be
 33° or 147° with an accuracy of ±15°





Summary of science requirements

- Most interesting region: (southern) radiation belt crossing
 - SAA has higher fluxes but the electrons energy is too small to penetrate the sensor stack
 - Instrument duty cycle: ~20%
- Orbital inclination > 63°
 - Orbital altitude is of minor importance
- Attitude control: The angle the instrument makes with the local magnetic field vector shall be
 33° or 147° with an accuracy of ±15°

Thank you!







19





Backup slides





Particle Spectrometers

 If energy is known: mass can be determined via time-of-flight chamber







Average flux comparison: Earth-Jupiter







Highest Fluxes in Earth Orbit



Radiation belt crossing: higher fluxes at higher energies



Flux directionality: Pitch angle



Pitch angle increases with field strength









RATEX-J

- 3 different particle detectors
 - Semiconductor Detector -> anti-coincidence
 - shield
 - Multi Channel Plate (MCP)
 - Ceramic Channel **Electron Multiplier** (CCEM)







Choosing an orbit for radiation testing

- Evaluation of regularly orbits offered by Spaceflight:
 - 700 km SSO
 - 500 km SSO
 - 15 000 x 39 000 HEO
 - 185 x 36 000 GTO
 - 550 km 63.4° LEO







Figure 7. Similar to Figure 6 but from PHA data in Ranges 2 through 5 only.



Spectrum variation over orbit







Model of Detector Stack











Fraction of time in high-flux zones

